

LR 8
LB 175, 425, 574, 275,
413, 431, 432

January 22, 1976

PRESIDENT WHELAN: All rise for the morning prayer.

REV. HEZMALL: Prayer offered.

PRESIDENT: The Legislature will please come to order.
Roll call. Record your presence.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Kremer, Rasmussen and
Frank Lewis asked to be excused until they arrive.

PRESIDENT: Have you all recorded? Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: A quorum present, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Corrections to the Journal.

CLERK: None, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Are there corrections from the floor? The
Journal shall stand. Committee hearings and reports.

CLERK: I have no Committee hearings and reports. I
do have some Committee reports, Mr. President. I have
also an Attorney General's opinion #163 addressed to
Senator DeCamp which will be inserted in the Journal
and set out in full therein. See pages 323, 324, and
325, Journal. Then, Mr. President, your Committee
on Enrollment and Review reports that we have examined
and reviewed LB 175 and recommend that it be replaced
on Select File as amended; LB 425 replaced on Select
File as amended; LB 574 replaced on Select File as
amended; LB 275 replaced on Select File as amended;
LB 413 Select File; LB 431 Select File as amended; and
LB 432 Select File as amended. Signed Senator Dworak,
Chairman. That is all, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Any new bills, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: None, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: We have nothing on Select File according
to our agenda. Senator Bereuter.

CLERK: Mr. President, the motion is to withdraw LR 8.
Signed Senator Bereuter.

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Mr. President, I would like to speak
on this motion in offering it. The content of LR 8 is
a constitutional amendment to provide that the Legis-
lature by general law may place limitations on the
intrusion of corporate farming in the state but it
precludes them from taking any action on family corpor-
ate farms. It is my intention to ask your concurrence
in withdrawing this resolution this morning because I
think there is a good argument for not focusing the
opposition to it at this time. The argument is that
we have a corporate farm reporting bill which, if properly